RIGINAL

28





ASU ALUMNI LAW GROUP 1 RECEIVED Two North Central Avenue, Suite 1600 2 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 2016 FEB -1 P 4: 29 Telephone: 602.251.3620 3 Facsimile: 602.251.8055 AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL 4 Thomas K. Irvine (Bar No. 006365) Chance Peterson (Bar No. 031520) 5 tom.irvine@asualumnilawgroup.org 6 chance.peterson@asualumnilawgroup.org 7 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 8 9 **COMMISSIONERS** Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 10 DOUG LITTLE, Acting Chairman **BOB BURNS** 11 FEB 0 1 2016 TOM FORESE 12 DOCKETED BY **BOB STUMP** 13 In the matter of 14 KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN, a married DOCKET NO. S-20774A-10-0494 15 man, 16 MARY KATHRYN PLEIN (a.k.a. "MARY **EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR** 17 KAY PLEIN"), a married woman, LEAVE TO INTERVENE, MOTION 18 TO REOPEN DOCKET, AND KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN and MARY **MOTION OBJECTING TO** 19 KATHRYN PLEIN (a.k.a. "MARY KAY PROPOSED MANNER OF 20 PLEIN"), Co-Trustees of THE PLEIN **DISTRIBUTION OF VICTIMS'** FAMILY TRUST U/T/A dated **RESTITUTION FUNDS** 21 **DECEMBER 1, 1993,** 22 PLEIN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED 23 (d.b.a. "TRI-STAR REALTY"), an Arizona 24 corporation, 25 Respondents. 26 27

Pursuant to AAC R14-3-105, Patricia Peterson (through her personal representative M. Christopher Peterson), who is a named victim in this case with a direct and substantial interest, by and through counsel undersigned, hereby submits this Application to Intervene in this matter. Further, pursuant to AAC R14-3-106(K), Ms. Peterson hereby submits this Motion to Reopen Docket and Motion Objecting to Proposed Manner of Distribution of Victims' Restitution Funds. Ms. Peterson's interest in the matter, and reasons for intervention, is as follows:

- 1. This firm represents M. Christopher Peterson acting as the personal representative of the estate of Patricia Peterson in Maricopa County Superior Court case No. CR2012-009415. Ms. Peterson was one of the victims of the Pleins as indicated in the records of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC") in Docket No. S-20774A-10-0494, Decision # 72238 ("Plein Order").
- 2. Mr. Peterson files this emergency Application, as on January 25, 2016, he learned that in the name of the ACC, \$1 million recently recovered to pay restitution to the Plein victims was planned to be diverted to the ACC in apparent violation of the Plein Order, with 35% to be designated as "attorneys' fees." The Superior Court has set another hearing for February 2, 2016 on this proposal; thus the emergency motion pursuant to R14-3-106(K).
- 3. The Plein Order (attached as Exhibit 1) found that the Pleins have engaged in securities law violations and ordered restitution to the Plein victims of over \$19

million, and established an administrative penalty of \$250,000 pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036. Exh. 1 at 12 – 13. The Plein Order specified that the victims were to receive full restitution before the administrative penalty was to be paid. Exh. 1 at 13. The Order did not award costs and attorney fees to the Commission. The Plein Order states that most victims were from the Sun City area; in other words, the Pleins preyed on the elderly.

4. Kenneth J. Plein was prosecuted in CR2012-009415 ("Plein criminal case"). Recently, \$4 million has been recovered which can be used for restitution to victims. Disposition of that money is being discussed in the Plein criminal case. A status conference was held on January 25, 2016 at which the trial Court tentatively announced that a victim restitution scheme was being contemplated to distribute \$4 million of Plein's insurance proceeds that were obtained through the California probate court. Under the scheme (apparently suggested off the record by the lawyers in the case - prosecutor, ACC lawyer, and defense lawyer) as explained by the Court at the status conference, \$3 million of the insurance proceeds would be directed to the Maricopa County Clerk of Court for distribution to the victims of the Plein scheme. The remaining \$1 million would be held in an Arizona Corporation Commission account, from which the Arizona Corporation Commission intended to withdraw 35% for their own purposes.

- 5. The Victim voiced his tentative objection to such a proposal, as he had never seen or heard mention in any of the Plein case hearings he had attended, nor any of the pleadings or minute entries he had reviewed, that the Corporation Commission had requested 35% of anything.
- 6. Another status conference is being held in the criminal matter on February 2, 2016. The Victim will appear pursuant to the Victims' Bill of Rights and other statutes to object to any monies being withheld from the victims.
- 7. Initial examination of the record provides no basis for the ACC to divert any money from the victims of the Plein fraud. Such an act would appear to violate the Plein Order. The Victim requests that the ACC provide any rationale or authority for such a diversion.
- 8. The Victim hereby moves for an Emergency Order of the ACC affirming it has no entitlement to any portion of the \$4 million restitution funds, which should be distributed to victims of the Pleins, that the 35% statute does not apply and that its Plein Order governs any entitlement it has to any monies recovered in the Plein matter.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of February, 2016.

ASU Alumni Law Group

Thomas K. Irvine

Two North Central, Suite 1600

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorneys for Victim

1	ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies
2	filed this 1st day of February, 2016, with:
3	Docket Control
4	Arizona Corporation Commission
5	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	
7	COPIES of the foregoing mailed and emailed this 1st day of February, 2016, to:
8	
9	Scott Wayne Blake
10	Arizona Attorney General's Office 1275 West Washington
11	Phoenix, AZ 85007
12	scott.blake@azag.gov
13	Attorneys for the State
14	Janice Alward
15	Legal Division Director
16	Arizona Corporation Commissioner 1200 West Washington Street
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	jalward@azcc.gov
19	James P. Kneller
20	6900 East Camelback Road, Suite 250
21	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 jim@jimknellerlaw.com
22	Attorney for Respondents
23	
	Michael Souccar 3800 North Central Ave, Suite 770
24	Phoenix, AZ 85012
25	michaelsouccar@yahoo.com
26	Attorney for Defendant Kenneth Plein
27	
28	

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Anzona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE, Chairman BOB STUMP SANDRA D. KENNEDY PAUL NEWMAN BRENDA BURNS

MAR	2	1	2011

DOCKETED BY NA

In the matter of	Ī	n	the	matter	of
------------------	---	---	-----	--------	----

KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN, a married man.

MARY KATHRYN PLEIN (a.k.a. "MARY KAY PLEIN"), a married woman,

KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN and MARY KATHRYN PLEIN (a.k.a. "MARY KAY PLEIN"), Co-Trustees of THE PLEIN FAMILY TRUST U/T/A dated DECEMBER 1, 1993,

PLEIN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED) (d.b.a. "TRI-STAR REALTY"), an Arizona) corporation,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20774A-10-0494

DECISION NO.

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND CONSENT TO SAME BY:

72238

RESPONDENT KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN

RESPONDENT MARY KATHRYN PLEIN

RESPONDENTS KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN and MARY KATHRYN PLEIN, Co-Trustees of THE PLEIN FAMILY TRUST U/T/A dated DECEMBER 1, 1993

RESPONDENT PLEIN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED

Respondents KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN, MARY KATHRYN PLEIN (a.k.a. "MARY KAY PLEIN"), KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN and MARY KATHRYN PLEIN (a.k.a. "MARY KAY PLEIN"), Co-Trustees of THE PLEIN FAMILY TRUST U/T/A dated DECEMBER 1, 1993, and PLEIN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED (d.b.a. "TRI-STAR REALTY") ("Respondents"), elect to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, Order For Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties ("Order"), and Respondents' Consent To Entry Of Order. Respondents admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); admit only for purposes of this proceeding, and any other

13

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

administrative or civil proceeding to which the Commission or its authorized agent is a party; and consent to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

I.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. At all times relevant, Respondent KENNETH JOSEPH PLEIN ("KP") has been a married man and an Arizona resident. At all times relevant, KP issued, offered and sold the investments discussed below within and from Arizona in his individual capacity, and on behalf of Respondents: (a) THE PLEIN FAMILY TRUST U/T/A dated DECEMBER 1, 1993 (the "TRUST") as its Co-Trustee and investment salesman; and (b) PLEIN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED (d.b.a. "TRI-STAR REALTY") ("PE") as its co-owner, president, director and investment salesman. In these capacities, KP promoted, controlled and bore responsibility for PE's business and financial affairs, and its investor solicitation activities. At all times relevant, KP was a real estate broker licensed by the Arizona Department of Real Estate ("Arizona DRE"), #BR006126000 (the "License"). On or about October 1, 2010, KP's License was cancelled by the Arizona DRE. KP has not been registered by the Commission as a securities salesman or dealer.
- 2. At all times relevant, Respondent MARY KATHRYN PLEIN (a.k.a. "MARY KAY PLEIN") ("MP") has been a married woman and an Arizona resident. At all times relevant, MP issued, offered and sold the investments discussed below within and from Arizona in her individual capacity, and on behalf of: (a) the TRUST as its Co-Trustee and/or investment salesman; and (b) PE as its co-owner, secretary, treasurer, director and/or investment salesman. In these capacities, MP promoted, controlled and bore responsibility for PE's business and financial affairs, and its investor solicitation activities. MP has not been registered by the Commission as a securities salesman or dealer.
- 3. The TRUST was formed by KP and MP under Arizona law on or about December 1, 1993. At all times relevant, the Trust issued the investments discussed below within and from Arizona. The TRUST has not been registered by the Commission as a securities dealer.

4. PE was formed as an Arizona corporation by KP and MP on May 7, 1985. At all times relevant, PE maintained its principal place of business in Sun City, Arizona, and it issued the investments discussed below within or from Arizona. PE has not been registered by the Commission as a securities dealer.

- 5. KP, MP, the TRUST and PE may be referred to as "Respondent(s)."
- 6. At all times relevant, KP and MP were married to each other, and were acting for their own benefit and the benefit or in furtherance of their marital community.

A. Respondents' Real Estate Business

- 7. At all times relevant, Respondents represented to offerees and investors, both verbally and in writing, that Respondents are in the business of buying, leasing, remodeling, and reselling residential and commercial real estate and vacant land located in the greater Sun City, Arizona area (the "Real Estate").
- 8. From approximately May 1985 to June 2010, Respondents issued, offered and sold investments to the general public to raise capital to fund and operate Respondents' Real Estate business (the "Investment(s)"). The Investments have not been registered as securities with the Commission to be offered or sold within or from Arizona.
- 9. Respondents solicited offerees and investors, in part, by publishing and distributing detailed one- or two-page letters drafted on PE letterhead that described the benefits of purchasing the Investments, current Investment opportunities, and the positive opportunities available to Respondents in the Real Estate market (the "Prospectus(es)").
- 10. A Prospectus dated September 22, 2008, drafted by KP and addressed to existing or potential "Private Investors," states, in part, as follows:

Our private investor family has grown to 110 couples or individuals with investments on [sic] over 200 properties, including rental homes, condos, duplexes, land, office buildings, shopping center [sic], and rehab/fix-up properties.

¹ At all times relevant, PE was licensed by the Arizona DRE as a "Real Estate Corporation," #CO001185000, with KP serving as its "Designated Broker." PE's license has been cancelled by the Arizona DRE.

There are 55 Sun City foreclosures now on the market. This is the time for us to be acquiring properties to fix up and re-sell or hold and rent until the market returns to normal. Buying prices have never been lower and there are excellent buys available...

We are looking for additional investment capital to expand our real estate programs. We are willing to pay [investors] 9% interest on all new loans [i.e., Investments].

11. KP represented to offerees and investors that the Investments were often superior to other types of investments such as bank certificates of deposit and company stock. For instance, as explained in a Prospectus dated February 17, 2009, provided by KP to existing or potential "Private Investors:"

We are in the greatest buying time of the greater Sun City area due to the bank lender foreclosures.

We acquired seven properties (four in Sun City and three in Youngtown) the past two months. We have already remodeled those homes and re-sold four of them. If they do not sell quickly we're holding those homes as re-modeled rentals.

We are using nice Travertine flooring, granite countertops, stainless steel appliances and new modern amenities so the renovated homes sell very quickly.

We need your help. We are looking for additional investment capital.

We are willing to pay [investors] 9% interest on all new loans [i.e., Investments]. If you are only getting 1% or 2% in the bank, or seeing your stocks, mutual funds, bonds and annuities dropping in value, please call us to invest your funds.

B. <u>Investment Terms and Documentation</u>

- 12. KP represented to offerees and investors both verbally and in writing that the Investments would provide them with passive profits equaling seven to ten percent of their principal Investments per year, with interest paid out on a monthly basis and the eventual return of their principal at the conclusion of the Investments.
- 13. The Investments included stated terms ranging from approximately one to seven years. Respondents most often issued and sold Investments with five-year terms. At the conclusion of expired Investments, KP sometimes persuaded investors to accept new Investments

that incorporated investors' original principal Investments and/or accrued or paper profits (the "Roll-Over" investments).

- 14. The Investments were purchased for varying amounts ranging from, without limitation, \$10,000 up to \$180,000.
- 15. The Investments were primarily documented by promissory notes (the "Note(s)") made and executed by: (a) KP in his individual capacity; (b) both KP and MP in their individual capacities; (c) both KP and MP on behalf of the Trust as its Co-Trustees; and (d) by KP and/or MP on behalf of PE as its owners, officers and directors.
- 16. At all times relevant, KP represented to offerees and investors that the Notes would be adequately "secured" by a lien on the Real Estate purchased by Respondents with investors' money. To perfect these lien interests in favor of investors, KP further represented to offerees and investors that Respondents would draft and execute deeds of trust and assignments of rent ("DOT(s)") to be recorded in the county where the related Real Estate was located.
- 17. Like the Notes, the DOTs were signed by KP and MP in their individual capacities and on behalf of the Trust as its Co-Trustees, and on behalf of PE as its owners, officers and directors.

C. Respondents' Misrepresentations and Omissions

- 18. KP represented to offerees and investors that the DOTs would create first position liens, and the only lien interests in favor of investors on the Real Estate purchased with their Investment money.
- 19. KP further represented to offerees and investors that the Investments were safe because the fair market value of the secured Real Estate would exceed investors' principal Investments by twenty-five to twenty percent, resulting in a loan Investment to value ratio of seventy-five to eighty percent.

- 21. KP failed to disclose to offerees and investors that KP would often fail to record any DOTs on behalf of Investment investors.² Respondents' failure to timely perfect investors' lien interests in the Real Estate purchased with investors' money by recording the DOTs often resulted in the investors' Investments being unsecured.
- 22. Also unbeknownst to offerees and investors, Respondents sometimes prepared and recorded multiple DOTs relating to a single piece of Real Estate in favor of multiple investors, thereby effectively creating first, second, third and even fourth position lien interests. This practice, coupled with the recent decline in the Real Estate market, resulted in investors' Investments being under-secured and/or effectively unsecured.
- 23. Also unbeknownst to offerees and investors, Respondents sometimes encumbered Real Estate collateral that had purportedly been assigned as security for an investor's Investment by: (a) borrowing money from a bank or hard money lender; and (b) authorizing the bank or lender to record a first position DOT against the Real Estate (the "Commercial Loan Liens"). These Commercial Loan Liens similarly resulted in the investors' Investments being under-secured and/or effectively unsecured.

D. <u>Investment Offering Summary</u>

24. Respondents often issued and sold two or more Investments to a single investor. Based on the foregoing, Respondents issued and sold at least three hundred and forty six (346) separate Investments (i.e., original, additional and Roll-Over investments) totaling approximately

^{20.} Thus, in the event of Respondents' default on their Investment obligations, investors were led to believe that they would be able to recoup all or a vast portion of their principal Investment funds by foreclosing on their Real Estate collateral.

² In Arizona, the transfer or creation of a legal interest in real property by and between a buyer, seller and/or lender is generally not effective as against others unless the document creating or transferring the legal interest is "recorded as provided by law in the office of the county recorder of the county in which the property is located." See, e.g., A.R.S. § 33-411(A).

\$19,851,868 to ninety eight (98) investors residing throughout Arizona and seventeen (17) other states.

- 25. Of this amount, approximately: (a) seventy-three of the Investments totaling \$4,199,432 were issued and sold by KP and/or MP in their individual capacities; (b) two hundred and fifty Investments totaling \$14,316,254 were issued and/or sold by the Trust; and (c) twenty-three of the Investments totaling \$1,336,182 were issued and/or sold by PE.
- 26. Respondents' Real Estate business failed, in part, because the value of the Real Estate collateral has plummeted. Respondents have defaulted on the majority of their Investment obligations. Respondents ceased making any Investment payments to investors in or about July 2010.
- 27. Many of Respondents' investors are retired or senior citizens who cashed out all or a substantial portion of their pre-existing retirement-based investments and/or life savings to purchase their Investments.
- 28. KP and MP voluntarily filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona on August 6, 2010 (See, Case No. 2:10-bk-24921-CGC).
- 29. KP and MP also caused PE to voluntarily file a Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona on August 6, 2010 (See, Case No. 2:10-bk-24919-RJH).
- 30. The pending bankruptcies were consolidated on August 30, 2010, and are now being jointly administered under the main Case No. 2:10-bk-24921-RJH (the "Bankruptcy(cies"). Respondents' Bankruptcy schedules indicate that their liabilities exceed their assets by millions of dollars.
- 31. Many of Respondents' investors are identified in and/or participating in the Bankruptcies at great and/or additional expense to said investors. As a result of the Bankruptcies, the majority of Respondents' investors only recently received information in the fall of 2010 indicating that their Investments are under-secured and/or effectively unsecured for the reasons set forth above.

E. General Investment Allegations

- 32. Investors provided their Investment money directly to Respondents, who then deposited and commingled Investment funds in common Arizona bank accounts owned and controlled by Respondents (the "Arizona Bank Account(s)") including, without limitation, one repeatedly referred to by Respondents as the "Green Builder Account."
- 33. Respondents caused to be deposited into, or disbursed from the Arizona Bank Accounts, all monies related to Respondents' Real Estate business operations including, without limitation: (a) tenant rent payments; (b) Investment returns (principal and interest); (c) Real Estate construction and development payments; and (d) even the personal funds of KP and MP.
- 34. Respondents prepared and maintained material records of the Investments, although not all of this information was provided to investors. Rather, after investors purchased an Investment, Respondents would send to the investors a letter regarding their "Real Estate Investment" that included their Note, a copy of their often unrecorded DOT, a copy of their investment check, and a receipt acknowledging the investors' Investment purchase.
- 35. Respondents and/or their authorized agents managed the essential elements of the Investments and, without limitation: (a) selected, inspected and evaluated potential Real Estate acquisitions; (b) negotiated the terms and conditions of Real Estate purchases; (c) arranged for and participated in Real Estate escrow closings including, for example, the procurement of title reports and insurance policies; (d) raised capital from Investors, banks and/or hard money lenders to purchase and/or renovate Real Estate; (e) planned and managed the renovation of the Real Estate; (f) calculated the appropriate sales prices or rental rates for Real Estate, in part, by analyzing comparable properties; (g) advertised and marketed the Real Estate to potential buyers and renters; (h) negotiated and executed tenant lease agreements and residential and commercial purchase contracts; and (i) collected Real Estate rent and purchase money, and distributed such funds to investors and/or hard money lenders.

36. Respondents' investors generally had no direct contact with Real Estate purchasers or renters. Instead, investors specifically agreed, and/or intended that Respondents would communicate with Real Estate purchasers or renters on their behalf.

- 37. Respondents profited from the Investments, without limitation, by retaining the difference between: (a) the ultimate resale price of a particular piece of Real Estate, minus expenses and the amounts owed to related investors and lenders; and/or (b) monthly rent payment made by the tenants of a particular piece of Real Estate, minus expenses and amounts owed to related investors and lenders.
- 38. At all times relevant, KP emphasized to offerees and investors that Respondents' ability to repay investors their promised profits would depend on his superior Real Estate knowledge and skill in purchasing, renovating, leasing and reselling the Real Estate purchased with investor money, and his approximately thirty years of Real Estate experience. Investors contacted by the Securities Division of the Commission have further stated that they purchased their Investments based on their belief that Respondents were successful Real Estate professionals who have sold a large number of Real Estate properties for many years.
- 39. As discussed above, Respondents' ability to repay Investment investors, and the investors' risk of loss of their Investments, was interwoven with and dependent on: (a) Respondents' ability, effort and success in profitably reselling and/or renting the Real Estate serving as collateral for the Investments; (b) Respondents' management of the Investments including, without limitation, their timely recordation of the DOTs as promised; and (c) Respondents' continued viability as successful and profitable Real Estate professionals.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

 The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.

Decision No.

- 2. Respondents offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).
- 3. Respondents violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.
- 4. Respondents violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as dealers or salesmen nor exempt from registration.
 - 5. Respondents violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by:
 - a. Representing to offerees and investors that the Investments would be adequately secured by the Real Estate purchased with their Investment money, while further failing to disclose to them that their Investments would be unsecured because Respondents would fail to record DOTs in favor of investors;
 - b. Representing to offerees and investors that the Investments would be adequately secured by the Real Estate purchased with their Investment money, while further failing to disclose to them that: (1) Respondents would often file multiple DOTs in favor of multiple investors to create multiple lien interests in a single piece of Real Estate, resulting in the investors receiving second, third and fourth position lien interests in their purported Real Estate collateral; and (2) that, as a result, their Investments would be under-secured and/or effectively unsecured;
 - c. Representing to offerees and investors that the Investments were safe, in part, because the fair market value of the Real Estate purchased with their Investment money exceeded the related principal Investments by twenty-five to twenty percent, while further failing to disclose to them that Respondents would often cause multiple DOTs to be filed with respect to a single piece of Real Estate and, as a result, the value of their purported Real Estate collateral would be greatly exceeded by the value of the attached, often multiple investor lien interests; and,

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

Representing to offerees and investors that the Investments would be adequately đ. secured by a first position DOT that would represent the only lien interest in the Real Estate purchased with investor money, while further failing to disclose to them that Respondents would often cause multiple DOTs to be filed with respect to a single piece of Real Estate including, without limitation, first position Commercial Loan Liens and, as a result, the Investments would be under-secured and/or effectively unsecured.

- KP and MP directly or indirectly controlled PE within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-6. 1999, and KP and MP are each jointly and severally liable with, and to the same extent as PE for its violations of the anti-fraud provisions of the Securities Act, A.R.S. § 44-1991.
- 7. KP and MP acted for the benefit of their marital community and, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 25-214 and 25-215, this Order of restitution and administrative penalties is a debt of KP and MP's marital community.

III.

ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Respondents' consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that Respondents, and any of Respondents' agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 that Respondents, and the marital community of KP and MP, shall jointly and severally pay restitution to the Commission in

the principal amount of \$19,851,868. The restitution obligation owed by KP, MP, and the KP and MP marital community under this Order will be paid in full upon the earlier of: (a) payment(s) by KP, MP, and the KP and MP marital community totaling \$19,851,868 plus interest; or (b) payment(s) by any Respondent(s) totaling \$19,851,868 plus interest. The Trust's restitution obligation under this Order will be paid in full upon the earlier of: (a) payment(s) by the Trust totaling \$14,316,254 plus interest; or (b) payment(s) by any Respondent(s) totaling \$19,851,868 plus interest. PE's restitution obligation under this Order will be paid in full upon the earlier of: (a) payment(s) by PE totaling \$1,336,182 plus interest; or (b) payment(s) by any Respondent(s) totaling \$19,851,868 plus interest. Payment is due in full on the date of this Order. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account controlled by the Commission. Any principal amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10 percent per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full.

The Commission, in its sole discretion, may credit the amount of restitution owed by Respondents with the value of any restitution payments made by them to the Investment investors. Respondents shall provide to the Commission all information and documentation to verify that such restitution has been paid which the Commission, in its sole discretion, may accept or reject.

The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro-rata basis to investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse because an investor refuses to accept such payment, or any restitution funds that cannot be disbursed to an investor because the investor is deceased and the Commission cannot reasonably identify and locate the deceased investor's spouse or natural children surviving at the time of the distribution, shall be disbursed on a pro-rata basis to the remaining investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any funds that the Commission determines it is unable to or cannot feasibly disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that Respondents and the KP and MP marital community shall jointly and severally pay an administrative penalty in the amount

Decision No.

of \$250,000. Payment is due in full on the date of this Order. Payment shall be made to the "State 1 of Arizona." Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest as allowed by law. 2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that payments received by the state of Arizona shall first be 3 applied to the restitution obligation. Upon payment in full of the restitution obligation, payments 4 shall be applied to the penalty obligation. 5 For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by any of the Respondents shall be an act of 6 default.³ If any Respondent does not comply with this Order, any outstanding balance may be 7 deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable. 8 9 /// /// 10 /// 11 /// 12 /// 13 14 /// 15 /// 16 /// 17 /// /// 18 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// /// 22 23 /// 24 ³ The Commission acknowledges that KP and MP individually, and PE have filed and are participating in 25 pending, consolidated bankruptcies as noted in "Finding of Fact" paragraphs twenty-nine through thirty-one above. Any subsequent or future bankruptcy petitions filed by Respondents following a discharge or 26 dismissal of the above referenced pending bankruptcy proceedings shall be viewed as a default.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if any Respondent fails to comply with this order, the 1 Commission may bring further legal proceedings against that Respondent, including application to 2 the superior court for an order of contempt. 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. 4 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 5 6 7 COMMISSIONER AIRMAN 8 10 COMMISSIONER 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, 12 Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 13 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 2/5t day of MArch 14 15 16 ERNEST G. JOHNSON 17 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 18 19 DISSENT 20 21 **DISSENT** 22 This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin A. Bernal, ADA 23 Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail sabernal@azcc.gov. 24 25 (MD) 26 14 72238

Decision No.